

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/565,782	02/09/2006	Frederic Neftel	2590-148	7591	
23117 NIXON & VA	7590 05/15/200° NDERHYE, PC	7	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	LOOR	BOUCHELLE, LAURA A		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	PAPER NUMBER	
			3763		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	₩		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/565,782	NEFTEL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura A. Bouchelle	3763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNION FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. Deriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	25 January 2006.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un	·	• •	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-8,12-14,17-21,25,35-37 and 39 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-8,12-14,17-21,25,35-37 and 39 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from consideration. g is/are rejected.	on.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐] accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection t	= : :	· ·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	•	i i i i	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in A e priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachmant(a)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview 9	Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/25/06. 	(8) Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. Claim 36 recites the limitation "a tight connection between the membrane and the valves" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 2. Claim 39 recites the limitation "positioning of the tube" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-8, 12-14, 19, 20, 25, 37, 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Goldrath (US 5437629). Goldrath discloses a fluid delivery system comprising a single peristaltic pump 36, a liquid supply means 16, a patient conduit 7, a drain line 44, two hub chambers 12, 24, valve means, an enter line 35, and exit line 38, several supply ports 16, 50, and a warmer system 24. The device is capable of being attached to different kinds of liquids.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,782 Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

5. Claim 19 is considered to be product by process claims. These claims are not limited to

the manipulations of the recited steps, only the structure implied but the steps. The patentability

of a product does not depend on its method of production. See MPEP 2113.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 17, 18, 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldrath

in view of Dominiak et al (US 5478211). Claim 17 differs from Goldrath in calling for the pump

and distribution system to form a single cartridge. Claim 36 calls for a cartridge loading means.

Dominiak teaches an infusion pump having the pump and distribution system contained in a

cartridge so that the patient can be ambulatory while receiving the infusion. Therefore, it would

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the device

of Goldrath so that the system is contained in a cartridge so that the patient can be ambulatory.

8. Claim 18 differs from Goldrath in calling for vibration attenuation means. Dominiak

teaches an infusion pump having a vibration attenuation means to prevent unnecessary wear and

tear on the pump (Col. 17, lines 29-31). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of

Application/Control Number: 10/565,782

Art Unit: 3763

ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the device of Goldrath to have an

vibration attenuation means as taught by Dominiak to prevent wear and tear on the pump.

9. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldrath in view

of Lavender (US 4980054). Claim 21 differs from Goldrath in calling for the hub to have a

flexible membrane including the valve elements. Lavender teaches a fluid pump comprising

membranes that control the opening and closing of the valves so that the flow of the fluid can be

controlled (Col. 20, lines 18-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill

in the art at the time of invention to modify the device of Goldrath to have membranes and

valves as taught by Lavender to control the flow of fluid.

10. Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldrath in view

of Lawless et al (US 5078362). Claim 35 differs from Goldrath in calling for the system to

include an air sensor. Lawless teaches a fluid pump comprising an air sensor 60 that detect the

presence of air bubbles to prevent a harmful air embolism for being introduced into the patient

(Col. 6, lines 48-56). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at

the time of invention to modify the device of Goldrath to include an air sensor as taught by

Lawless to prevent an air embolism from being introduced into the patient.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/565,782

Art Unit: 3763

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Laura A. Bouchelle whose telephone number is 571-272-2125.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 517-272-4977. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Laura A Bouchelle

Page 5

Examiner

Art Unit 3763